

Quantization of quadratic Poisson brackets on a polynomial algebra of three variables

J. Donin

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Bar-Ilan University, 52900 Ramat-Gan, Israel

L. Makar-Limanov

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Bar-Ilan University, 52900 Ramat-Gan, Israel
and Department of Mathematics
Wayne State University, Detroit 48202, USA

Abstract

Poisson brackets (P.b) are the natural initial terms for the deformation quantization of commutative algebras. There is an open problem whether any Poisson bracket on the polynomial algebra of n variables can be quantized. It is known (Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt theorem) that any linear P.b. for all n can be quantized. On the other hand, it is easy to show that in case $n = 2$ any P.b. is quantizable as well.

Quadratic P.b. appear as the unital terms for the quantization of polynomial algebras as quadratic algebras. The problem of the quantization of quadratic P.b. is also open. In the paper we show that in case $n = 3$ any quadratic P.b can be quantized. Moreover, the quantization is given as the quotient algebra of tensor algebra of three variables by relations which are similar to those in the Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt theorem. The proof uses a classification of all quadratic Poisson brackets of three variables, which we also give in the paper. In Appendix we give explicit algebraic constructions of the quantized algebras appeared here to show that they are related to algebras of global dimension three considered by M.Artin, W.Schelter, J.Tate, and M.Van Den Bergh from a different point of view.

1 Deformations of quadratic algebras

Let A be an associative algebra with unit over a field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic zero. We will consider deformations of A over the algebra of formal power series $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ in a variable $\hbar$.

By a deformation of A we mean an algebra $A_\hbar$ over $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ which is isomorphic to $A[[\hbar]] = A \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ as a $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ -module and $A_\hbar / \hbar A_\hbar = A$ (the symbol $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the tensor product completed in the $\hbar$ -adic topology). We will also denote A as A_0 .

If $A'_\hbar$ is another deformation of A , we call the deformations $A_\hbar$ and $A'_\hbar$ equivalent if there exists a $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ -algebra isomorphism $A_\hbar \rightarrow A'_\hbar$ which induces the identity automorphism of A_0 .

Let $T = T(V)$ be a tensor algebra over a finite-dimensional vector space V over a field $\mathbf{k}$ and let A be a quotient algebra of T , i.e., $A = T/\mathcal{I}$ where $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal in T . It is easy to see that if $A_\hbar$ is a deformation of A , then $A_\hbar = T[[\hbar]]/\mathcal{I}_\hbar$ where $\mathcal{I}_\hbar$ is an ideal in $T[[\hbar]]$ such that $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_\hbar / \hbar \mathcal{I}_\hbar$.

Consider T as a graded algebra, $T = \bigoplus_k T^k$, and let A also be a graded algebra, i.e., $\mathcal{I}$ is a graded ideal. Denote by $\mathcal{I}^k$ the k -th homogeneous component of $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{I}^k = \mathcal{I} \cap T^k$. If $A_\hbar$ is

a homogeneous deformation of A , then the ideal $\mathcal{I}_h$ is homogeneous, and for flatness of A_h it is necessary that all the components $\mathcal{I}_h^k$ be splitting submodules in $V^{\otimes k}$ for all k . This means that each $\mathcal{I}_h^k$ has a complementary submodule $\mathcal{J}_h^k$, i.e., $V^{\otimes k}[[\hbar]] = \mathcal{I}_h^k \oplus \mathcal{J}_h^k$.

Now consider the case of quadratic algebras. Recall that an algebra A is called quadratic if $A = T/\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ is a homogeneous ideal generated by $\mathcal{I}^2$. From now on we use the notations $\mathcal{I}^2 = I$ and $\mathcal{I}^k = I^k$ and perceive each I^k as a subspace in $V^{\otimes k}$. So, a quadratic algebra is defined by a pair (V, I) where I is a subspace in $V \otimes V$. Define the subspaces I_i^k , $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, in the linear space $V^{\otimes k}$ as $I_i^k = V \otimes \dots \otimes I \otimes \dots \otimes V$ where I is in the i -th position. It is clear that the component I^k is equal to the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} I_i^k$ of the subspaces I_i^k of the linear space $V^{\otimes k}$.

A deformation of the quadratic algebra (V, I) is defined by a splitting $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ -submodule I_h of $V^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$ such that all the submodules $I_h^k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} I_{hi}^k$ are splitting. We shall write V instead of $V[[\hbar]]$ when it does not lead to misunderstanding. We call the dimension of the vector space I_0^k over $\mathbf{k}$ the rank of the submodule I_h^k at the zero point. We call the dimension of the vector space $I_h^k \otimes_{\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]} \mathbf{k}\{\hbar\}$ over the quotient field $\mathbf{k}\{\hbar\}$ of $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ the rank of the submodule I_h^k at the general point. Note that I_h^k is splitting if and only if its ranks at the zero point and at the general point coincides.

In some cases a deformation of a quadratic algebra is flat if I_h^3 is a splitting submodule. We call a quadratic algebra (V, I) weakly Koszul if it satisfies the following distributiveness condition:

$$I_1^k \cap (I_2^k + I_3^k + \dots + I_{k-1}^k) = I_1^k \cap I_2^k + I_1^k \cap (I_3^k + \dots + I_{k-1}^k) \quad (1)$$

for all k .

This definition is motivated by the fact that a quadratic algebra will be Koszul if and only if for all k the lattice of subspaces $I_i^k, i = 1, \dots, k-1$, of $V^{\otimes k}$ is distributive under the both operations: taking the sum and the intersections of subspaces (see [Ba]).

The following result essentially follows from [D] (see also [PP]).

Proposition 1.1 *Let I_h be a deformation of a subspace $I \subset V^{\otimes 2}$. In case (V, I) is a weakly Koszul algebra, all I_h^k will be splitting $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ -submodules if $I_h^3 = V \otimes I_h + I_h \otimes V$ is a splitting $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ -submodule.*

Proof The assertion is valid for $k = 3$ by hypothesis of the proposition. Suppose it is valid for all $m < k$. To prove that the $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ -submodule $I_h^k = I_h \otimes V^{\otimes(k-2)} + V \otimes I_h^{k-1}$ is splitting, it is sufficient to show that the module $J_h = I_h \otimes V^{\otimes(k-2)} \cap V \otimes I_h^{k-1}$ is splitting. We will prove that the rank of J_h at the general point coincide with the rank of this submodule at the zero point, which implies that J_h is a splitting submodule. We have the inclusion

$$I_h \otimes V^{\otimes(k-2)} \cap (V \otimes I_h \otimes V^{\otimes(k-3)} + V^{\otimes 2} \otimes I_h^{k-2}) \supseteq I_h^{(3)} \otimes V^{\otimes(k-3)} + I_h \otimes I_h^{k-2}, \quad (2)$$

where $I_h^{(3)}$ denotes $I_h \otimes V \cap V \otimes I_h$.

Now observe that the submodule $(V \otimes I_h \otimes V^{\otimes(k-3)} + V^{\otimes 2} \otimes I_h^{k-2}) = V \otimes I_h^{k-1}$ is splitting by the induction hypothesis, and the submodules $I_h \otimes V^{\otimes(k-2)}$, $I_h^{(3)} \otimes V^{\otimes(k-3)}$, and $I_h \otimes I_h^{k-2}$ are splitting as well. At the point $\hbar = 0$ the modules in (2) are equal because of the weakly Koszul property. But it is clear that the rank of the intersection of two splitting $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ -submodules at the general point has to be not more than the rank of their intersection at

the zero point, while the rank of the sum of two splitting $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ -submodules at the general point has to be not less than the rank of their sum at the zero point. Therefore, the ranks of both modules in (2) at the general point must be equal to the rank of these modules at the zero point. Hence, the modules coincide and $J_{\hbar}$ is a splitting submodule. The proposition is proved.

Remark. Let $I_{\hbar}$ be an algebraic family of subspaces of $V \otimes V$, where point $\hbar$ runs over a connected algebraic variety M , such that the dimension of subspaces $I_{\hbar} \otimes V + V \otimes I_{\hbar}$ of $V^{\otimes 3}$ does not change. The arguments above show that if I_o satisfies (1) at a point $o \in M$, then for a fixed $k > 3$ the dimension of $I_{\hbar}^k$ is constant for $\hbar \in M \setminus S_k$, where S_k is an algebraic subset of a smaller dimension. Therefore, for almost all points of M (the complement to $\cup S_k$) the dimension of all $I_{\hbar}^k$ does not change.

Given a quadratic algebra (V, I) , one can define the dual quadratic algebra (V^*, I^*) where V^* is the dual space to V , and I^* consists of the elements $\lambda \in V^* \otimes V^*$ such that $\lambda(I) = 0$. It follows from duality that in order for (V^*, I^*) to be weakly Koszul, I must satisfy the dual to (1) distributiveness condition:

$$I_1^k + (I_2^k \cap I_3^k \cap \cdots \cap I_{k-1}^k) = (I_1^k + I_2^k) \cap (I_1^k + (I_3^k \cap \cdots \cap I_{k-1}^k)) \quad (3)$$

for all k . In particular, if the quadratic algebra (V, I) is Koszul, then its dual quadratic algebra (V^*, I^*) will be Koszul as well.

If $(V, I_{\hbar})$ is a deformation of the algebra (V, I) , one can build the dual $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ -submodule $I_{\hbar}^*$ in $V^*[[\hbar]]$.

Proposition 1.2 *Let $(V, I_{\hbar})$ be a deformation of a Koszul quadratic algebra (V, I) . Then the pair $(V^*, I_{\hbar}^*)$ gives a deformation of the dual quadratic algebra (V^*, I^*) .*

Proof The dual quadratic algebra (V^*, I^*) also is a Koszul one, so that it suffices to check that the $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ -module $I_{\hbar}^{*3} = V^* \otimes I_{\hbar}^* + I_{\hbar}^* \otimes V^*$ is splitting. But I^{*3} is dual to $V \otimes I_{\hbar} \cap I_{\hbar} \otimes V$, which is a splitting $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ -submodule because $V \otimes I_{\hbar} + I_{\hbar} \otimes V$ is splitting. So I^{*3} is a splitting submodule as well, which completes the proof.

Let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a basis in the space V , and $k \leq n$. We will perceive the first k variables $x_1, \dots, x_k$ as even and the other $x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n$ as odd. Then the tensor algebra $T(V)$ can be regarded as a superalgebra. The super-commutative polynomial superalgebra $P_{k,l}$ ($l = n - k$) is the quotient of $T(V)$ by the ideal generated by the elements

$$x_i \otimes x_j - (-1)^{x_i x_j} x_j \otimes x_i.$$

(Here $(-1)^z = 1$ if z is even and $(-1)^z = -1$ if z is odd.) These elements determine a subspace $I_{l,k}$ in $V \otimes V$. Let $I_{k,l}$ be a subspace determined by the elements

$$x_i \otimes x_j + (-1)^{x_i x_j} x_j \otimes x_i.$$

The subspaces $I_{k,l}$ and $I_{l,k}$ are complemented in $V \otimes V$, so that the natural projection $\pi : T(V) \rightarrow P_{k,l}$ gives an isomorphism $\pi_2 : I_{k,l} \rightarrow P_{k,l}^2$. Here $P_{k,l}^2$ denotes the component of the degree two in $P_{k,l}$. Further we set $I = I_{l,k}$ and $J = I_{k,l}$. A deformation $I_{\hbar}$ of

the subspace I can be given by a family of linear operators $B_{\hbar} : I[[\hbar]] \rightarrow J[[\hbar]]$, $B_{\hbar} = \hbar B^1 + \hbar^2 B^2 + \dots$, such that $I_{\hbar}$ is a graph of the operator $B_{\hbar}$. Define a bilinear form b on $P_{k,l}$ in the following way: If $\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_j$ are the images of x_i, x_j under the projection π , we put $b(\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_j) = \pi B^1(x_i \otimes x_j - (-1)^{x_i x_j} x_j \otimes x_i)$, and on others elements from $P_{k,l}$ the form b is extended by the Leibniz rule

$$b(uv, w) = ub(v, w) + (-1)^{uv} vb(u, w).$$

It is clear that in such a way we obtain a one-one correspondence between the operators $B^1 : I \rightarrow J$ and the skew-symmetric bilinear forms $b : P_{k,l} \otimes P_{k,l} \rightarrow P_{k,l}$ satisfying the Leibniz rule and such that $b(\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_j)$ are homogeneous quadratic forms, $b(\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_j) = \sum_{pq} b_{ij}^{pq} \bar{x}_p \bar{x}_q$. We call such a quadratic bracket Poisson if it satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$(-1)^{uw} b(b(u, v), w) + (-1)^{vu} b(b(v, w), u) + (-1)^{wv} b(b(w, u), v).$$

One can show in the standard way that if the family of operators $B_{\hbar}$ determines a deformation of quadratic algebra $P_{k,l} = (V, I)$, then the form b corresponding to B^1 is a quadratic Poisson bracket. It is easy to see that the dual to $P_{k,l}$ quadratic algebra can be identified with $P_{l,k}$, the supercommutative polynomial superalgebra with l even variables $x^1, \dots, x^l$ and k odd variables $x^{l+1}, \dots, x^n$, $n = l + k$. The quadratic Poisson bracket b on $P_{k,l}$ defines a quadratic Poisson bracket $\tilde{b}$ on $P_{l,k}$ in the following way: $\tilde{b}(x^i, x^j) = \sum_{pq} b_{pq}^{ij} x^p x^q$. This bracket corresponds obviously to the dual deformation of the dual algebra $P_{l,k}$.

A quadratic Poisson bracket b on $P_{k,l}$ is called quantizable if there exists a deformation of $P_{k,l}$ with b as an initial term. Any deformation of $P_{k,l}$ with initial term b will be called a quantization (or realization) of b . Since $P_{k,l}$ is a Koszul algebra, it follows from Proposition 1.2 that

Proposition 1.3 *If the bracket b is quantizable on $P_{k,l}$ then the dual bracket $\tilde{b}$ is quantizable on $P_{l,k}$.*

In the next sections we describe all quadratic Poisson brackets on the polynomial algebra of three even variables and show that any such bracket can be quantized, i.e. has a realization. Using Proposition 1.3 we can conclude that any quadratic Poisson bracket on the Grassmann algebra of three variables is quantizable as well.

2 Classification of quadratic Poisson brackets in three-dimensional case

Let $b(f, g)$ be a Poisson bracket defined on a polynomial ring $R = \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for which all $y_{i,j} = b(x_i, x_j)$ are homogeneous quadratic forms. Let $P(b)$ be the matrix with elements $p_{i,j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\sum_k \frac{\partial y_{i,k}}{\partial x_k})$. Let A be a matrix from $GL(n, \mathbf{k})$. Matrix A defines an automorphism a of R by $x_i^a = \sum_j a_{i,j} x_j$. It is clear that the same Poisson bracket may be given in terms of $\{x_i^a\}$. Let us denote this Poisson bracket by b^a and let $P^a = P(b^a)$.

Lemma 2.1 $P^a = APA^{-1}$.

Proof It is sufficient to check that the lemma is true for elementary automorphisms $x_i^a = x_i$ for $i \neq j$ and $x_j^a = x_j + cx_k$ ($SL(n)$ is generated by these transformations) and for diagonal automorphisms $x_i^a = x_i$ for $i \neq j$ and $x_j^a = cx_j$. This may be done by a straightforward computation.

(Another way to prove this lemma is to consider b as an element of $V^* \otimes V^* \otimes V \otimes V$. Then P corresponds to the contraction of this tensor.)

Remark. Since $y_{i,j} = -y_{j,i}$ one can see that $\text{trace} P = 0$.

Let b be a quadratic Poisson bracket and let P be its corresponding matrix. Let $r = \text{rank} P$. One obtains a natural classification of quadratic Poisson brackets according to the rank and Jordan form of P .

Let us denote by $v_i = \sum_k \frac{\partial y_{i,k}}{\partial x_k}$. For $n = 3$ the Jacobi identity is equivalent to $y_{1,2}v_3 + y_{2,3}v_1 + y_{3,1}v_2 = 0$.

a) $r = 0$. In this case, all $v_i = 0$ which implies that there exists a cubic form f such that $y_{1,2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}$, $y_{2,3} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}$, and $y_{3,1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}$.

b) $r = 1$. In this case, there exists a linear automorphism after which $v_1 = v_2 = 0$ and $v_3 = x_1$. Therefore, $y_{1,2} = 0$. But then since $v_1 = v_2 = 0$, derivatives $\frac{\partial y_{1,3}}{\partial x_3} = \frac{\partial y_{2,3}}{\partial x_3} = 0$ and $v_3 = x_1 = \frac{\partial y_{3,1}}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial y_{3,2}}{\partial x_2}$. This means that there exists a cubic form f such that $y_{1,2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} = 0$, $y_{2,3} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - x_1x_2$, $y_{3,1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}$.

c) $r = 2$. Here we have two subcases:

ca) $v_1 = 0$, $v_2 = -\lambda x_2$, and $v_3 = \lambda x_3$, or

cb) $v_1 = 0$, $v_2 = x_1$, and $v_3 = x_2$.

ca) There exists a cubic form f such that $y_{1,2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}$, $y_{2,3} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda x_2x_3$, $y_{3,1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}$. The Jacobi identity then gives $x_3 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} - x_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = 0$, and it is easy to see that $f = 2c_1x_1x_2x_3 + c_2x_1^3$.

cb) There exists a cubic form f such that $y_{1,2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}$, $y_{2,3} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + x_1x_3 - \frac{1}{2}x_2^2$, $y_{3,1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}$. The Jacobi identity then gives $x_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} + x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = 0$, and here $f = -2c_1x_3x_1^2 + c_1x_1x_2^2 + c_2x_1^3$.

d) $r = 3$. Here we have three subcases:

da) $v_1 = \lambda_1x_1$, $v_2 = \lambda_2x_2$, and $v_3 = \lambda_3x_3$, where $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$ and λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3 are pairwise different (and different from zero).

db) $v_1 = \lambda x_1$, $v_2 = \lambda x_2$, and $v_3 = -2\lambda x_3$ where $\lambda \neq 0$.

dc) $v_1 = \lambda x_1$, $v_2 = \lambda(x_2 + x_1)$, and $v_3 = -2\lambda x_3$ where $\lambda \neq 0$.

da) There exists a cubic form f such that $y_{1,2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}$, $y_{2,3} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2x_2x_3$, $y_{3,1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_1x_1x_3$. The Jacobi identity then gives $\lambda_1x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2x_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + \lambda_3x_3 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} = 0$, and since $\lambda_i \neq 0$ and are pairwise different the only possible $f = 2cx_1x_2x_3$.

db) There exists a cubic form f such that $y_{1,2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}$, $y_{2,3} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + \lambda x_2x_3$, $y_{3,1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda x_1x_3$. The Jacobi identity then gives $x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + x_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - 2x_3 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} = 0$, and here $f = g(x_1, x_2)x_3$.

dc) There exists a cubic form f such that $y_{1,2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\lambda}{2}x_1^2$, $y_{2,3} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + \lambda x_2x_3$, $y_{3,1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda x_1x_3$. The Jacobi identity then gives $x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + (x_1 + x_2) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - 2x_3 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} = 0$, and here $f = cx_1^2x_3$.

(The easiest way to find f in db) and dc) is to use the Euler identity $x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + x_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} + x_3 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} = 3f$, and to rewrite Jacobi identities accordingly.)

So in all cases a quadratic Poisson bracket up to a linear transformation is described by a matrix in Jordan form (in case dc) it is slightly changed for computational convenience) and by a cubic form which is arbitrary in case a), in variables x_1 and x_2 in case b), $f = c_1 x_1 x_2 x_3 + c_2 x_1^3$ in case ca), $f = -2c_1 x_3 x_1^2 + c_1 x_1 x_2^2 + c_2 x_1^3$ in case cb), $f = 2c x_1 x_2 x_3$ in case da), $f = g(x_1, x_2) x_3$ in case db), and $f = c x_1^2 x_3$ in case dc).

Now in case a) f may be reduced by an arbitrary linear transformation and in case db) by a transformation in variables x_1, x_2 .

Remark. It was brought to our attention that essentially the same classification of quadratic Poisson brackets was obtained in [DH] and [LX].

3 Quantization of quadratic Poisson brackets in three-dimensional case

Let $R = \mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]\langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle$ be a free algebra with three generators over the ring $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ of formal power series. Let us define on this algebra “Jordan” operation $f \circ g = \frac{1}{2}(fg + gf)$. Let b be a quadratic bracket on $A = \mathbf{k}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. Then b is defined by $y_{i,j} = b(x_i, x_j)$. Let $y_{i,j} = \sum c_{i,j}^{k,l} x_k x_l$.

Theorem 3.1 *The factor algebra R_b of algebra R by the ideal generated by $[a_i, a_j] = \hbar \sum c_{i,j}^{k,l} a_k \circ a_l$ is a realization of bracket b . (Here x_i is the image of a_i .)*

Proof Let us use the same notations as above, namely $y_{i,j} = b(x_i, x_j)$ and $v_i = \sum_k \frac{\partial y_{i,k}}{\partial x_k}$. Here a partial derivative is the following operation: $\circ$ is replaced by commutative multiplication and then ordinary partial derivative is computed. It is easy to check that then in algebra R we have

$$[x_1, y_{2,3}] + [x_2, y_{3,1}] + [x_3, y_{1,2}] = [x_1, x_2] \circ v_3 + [x_2, x_3] \circ v_1 + [x_3, x_1] \circ v_2 \quad (1)$$

So in the case when rank is zero and all $v_i = 0$ the Theorem can be deduced from a result of Drinfeld (see Proposition ??) which states that in our setting it is sufficient to check that $W = (I_2 \otimes V) \cap (V \otimes I_2)$ is at least one dimensional. If we denote our relations by $f_{i,j} = y_{i,j} - [x_i, x_j]$, then

$$f_{1,2} x_3 + f_{2,3} x_1 + f_{3,1} x_2 = x_3 f_{1,2} + x_1 f_{2,3} + x_2 f_{3,1}$$

which shows that $\dim(W) > 0$ in this case. Similar proof works for the case $r = 1$ where

$$f_{1,2} x_3 + f_{2,3} x_1 + f_{3,1} x_2 - \hbar f_{1,2} x_1 = x_3 f_{1,2} + x_1 f_{2,3} + x_2 f_{3,1} + \hbar x_1 f_{1,2}$$

and in the second subcase for $r = 2$ where

$$f_{1,2} x_3 + f_{2,3} x_1 + f_{3,1} x_2 - \hbar(c_1 f_{1,2} x_1 + f_{1,2} x_2 + f_{3,1} x_1) = x_3 f_{1,2} + x_1 f_{2,3} + x_2 f_{3,1} - \hbar(c_1 x_1 f_{1,2} - x_2 f_{1,2} - x_1 f_{3,1}).$$

Although it is clear a posteriori that $\dim(W) = 1$ in all the cases, we approach the remaining cases differently, to avoid lengthy computations.

Rank two case. In the first subcase

$$[x_1, x_2] = \hbar c_1 x_1 \circ x_2,$$

$$\begin{aligned}[x_2, x_3] &= \hbar((c_1 - \frac{\lambda}{2})x_2 \circ x_3 + 3c_2x_1^2), \\ [x_3, x_1] &= \hbar c_1x_3 \circ x_1.\end{aligned}$$

Rank three case.

In the first subcase

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1, x_2] &= \hbar cx_1 \circ x_2, \\ [x_2, x_3] &= \hbar(c + \frac{\lambda_2}{2})x_2 \circ x_3, \\ [x_3, x_1] &= \hbar(c - \frac{\lambda_1}{2})x_3 \circ x_1.\end{aligned}$$

In the second subcase it is possible to make a linear transformation of variables x_1 and x_2 which reduces f to $(ax_1^2 + bx_1x_2)x_3$. So

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1, x_2] &= \frac{\hbar}{2}(2ax_1^2 + bx_1 \circ x_2), \\ [x_2, x_3] &= \frac{\hbar}{2}(2ax_1 \circ x_3 + (b + \lambda)x_2 \circ x_3), \\ [x_3, x_1] &= \frac{\hbar}{2}(b - \lambda)x_3 \circ x_1.\end{aligned}$$

In the third subcase relations are

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1, x_2] &= \frac{\hbar}{2}(2c - \lambda)x_1^2, \\ [x_2, x_3] &= \frac{\hbar}{2}(2cx_1 \circ x_3 + \lambda x_2 \circ x_3), \\ [x_3, x_1] &= -\frac{\hbar}{2}\lambda x_3 \circ x_1.\end{aligned}$$

In all of these cases relations may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}x_2x_1 &= a_3x_1x_2 + b_3x_1^2, \\ x_3x_2 &= a_1x_2x_3 + b_1x_1^2 + c_1x_1x_3, \\ x_3x_1 &= a_2x_1x_3.\end{aligned}$$

So Bergman's (see [B]) diamond lemma may be applied. It is easy to check that in each of the cases values of parameters are such that the only ambiguity $x_3x_2x_1$ is resolvable and the monomials $x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k$ form a basis in the corresponding algebras. (As it is shown in [B] resolution of this ambiguity is equivalent to the Jacobi identity so in fact these computations show that $\dim(W) > 0$.)

This finishes the proof of the theorem.

4 APPENDIX: direct constructions of algebras R_b

In this section we give an alternative proof of the Theorem based on direct constructions of algebras isomorphic to R_b .

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an algebra of functions on a lattice Z^2 with values in $\mathbf{k}(\hbar, w, z)$ where w and z are central variables. One of the sources of algebras isomorphic to R_b will be subalgebras of the algebra $\mathcal{H}$ of homomorphisms of $\mathcal{F}$ generated by two "coordinate" shifts and by multiplication by its elements. We will be using functional notation $f(x, y)$ for the elements of $\mathcal{F}$ and corresponding elements of $\mathcal{H}$ and denote shifts by s_x and s_y . When we need only one shift for our construction we will denote it by s . So we have commuting symbols $f(x, y)$ and relations are $s_x f(x, y) = f(x + 1, y)s_x$, $s_y f(x, y) = f(x, y + 1)s_y$.

Another source will be different subalgebras and extensions of Weyl algebras.

Rank zero case.

In this case we can use a classification of cubic forms.

Let $H(x, y, z)$ be a (homogeneous) cubic form over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Then the following is the list of possible reductions of this form under the action of $GL(3)$.

- 1) 0, 2) x^3 , 3) x^2y , 4) $xy(x + y)$,
 5) $zx^2 + xy^2$, 6) $zx^2 + y^3$, 7) $2zxy$, 8) $2zxy + x^3$, 9) $2zxy + x^3 + y^3$,
 10) $z^2x + y(x + y)(x + cy)$ where $c \neq 0$ and $c \neq 1$.
 A group of order 3 acts on 10).

This list was known of course to J. Steiner though he did not bother to put it in quite such a form.

Remark. First four orbits correspond to a smaller number of variables. Forms from 5) to 9) are linear in z . Form 10) is the only one with a unique singular point (at the origin). (When $c = 0$ then 10) is equivalent to 8) and when $c = 1$ then 10) is equivalent to 9).)

So let us proceed along the list of reduced forms.

1) corresponds to the ring of polynomials.

2), 3), and 4) correspond to relations

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1, x_2] &= 0, \\ [x_2, x_3] &= \hbar y_{2,3}, \\ [x_3, x_1] &= \hbar y_{3,1},\end{aligned}$$

where $y_{2,3}$ and $y_{3,1}$ are some elements of the polynomial ring $P = \mathbf{k}[[\hbar]][x_1, x_2]$ which depend on the case.

Here we obtain skew polynomial extensions $P[x_3, \delta]$ of the derivation type of the ring P (see [C]) and in fact $y_{2,3}$ and $y_{3,1}$ can be any elements of P . (We shall use it later.)

These algebras may be realized as subalgebras of the second Weyl algebra A_2 over $\mathbf{k}(z)$. Say, if generators are p_1, q_1 and p_2, q_2 (and relations are $[p_i, q_i] = 1$ and all other commutators are zeros), then $x_1 = p_1$, $x_2 = p_2$, and $x_3 = \hbar(q_2 y_{2,3} - q_1 y_{3,1}) + z$ will suffice.

Since the standard monomials in p_1, p_2, z are linearly independent, it is clear that the standard monomials in x_1, x_2, x_3 are linearly independent. It implies that the constructed algebras are isomorphic to the corresponding R_b .

5) corresponds to relations

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1, x_2] &= \hbar x_1^2, \\ [x_2, x_3] &= \hbar(x_1 \circ x_3 + x_2^2), \\ [x_3, x_1] &= \hbar x_1 \circ x_2,\end{aligned}$$

and 6) corresponds to relations

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1, x_2] &= \hbar x_1^2, \\ [x_2, x_3] &= \hbar x_1 \circ x_3, \\ [x_3, x_1] &= 3\hbar x_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

These algebras are skew polynomial extensions $B[x_3; \alpha, \delta]$ of the algebra B generated by

x_1 and x_2 . (See [C], α is an automorphism of B and δ is an α derivation of B .) Here $x_1^\alpha = x_1$, $x_2^\alpha = x_2 - 2\hbar x_1$ in both cases and $x_1^\delta = \hbar x_1 \circ x_2$, $x_2^\delta = -\hbar(x_2^2 + \hbar x_1 \circ x_2)$ for 5) and $x_1^\delta = 3\hbar x_2^2$, $x_2^\delta = -3\hbar^2 x_2^2$ for 6).

Let A_1 be the first Weyl algebra with generators p and q over $\mathbf{k}(\hbar, w, z)$. (Here w and z are central variables and $[p, q] = 1$.) Let D_1 be its field of fractions. The following subalgebras of D_1 are realizations of corresponding brackets.

For 5) we may consider an algebra generated by $x_1 = p^{-1}$, $x_2 = -(\hbar q + w)$, and $x_3 = -(\hbar q + w)^2 p - \hbar(\hbar q + w) + \frac{1}{3}\hbar^2 p^{-1} + zp^2$, and for 6) we may consider an algebra generated by $x_1 = p^{-1}$, $x_2 = -(\hbar q + w)$, and $x_3 = (\hbar q + w)^3 p^2 + 3\hbar(\hbar q + w)^2 p + zp^2$. These algebras are homomorphic images of the corresponding R_b .

As above, in both these cases it is clear that the standard monomials of constructed algebras are linearly independent (e.g., it follows from the independence of monomials of p^{-1} , w , and zp^2). So again these algebras are isomorphic to the corresponding R_b .

7), 8), and 9) correspond to relations

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, x_2] &= \hbar x_1 \circ x_2, \\ [x_2, x_3] &= \hbar(x_2 \circ x_3 + 3c_i x_1^2), \\ [x_3, x_1] &= \hbar(x_3 \circ x_1 + 3d_i x_2^2), \text{ where } c_7 = d_7 = d_8 = 0 \text{ and } c_8 = c_9 = d_9 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

These algebras are skew polynomial extensions $Q[x_3; \alpha, \delta]$ of a quantum plane Q generated by x_1 and x_2 . (See [C].) Here $x_2 x_1 = k x_1 x_2$ where $k = \frac{1-\hbar}{1+\hbar}$, and these skew extensions are defined by $x_1^\alpha = k^{-1} x_1$, $x_2^\alpha = k x_2$, and $x_1^\delta = \frac{c_1 \hbar}{1-\hbar} x_2^2$, $x_2^\delta = \frac{c_2 \hbar}{1+\hbar} x_1^2$, where c_1 and c_2 should be appropriately chosen for each of the cases. It is a straightforward computation to check that δ is well defined on Q by

$$(x_1^i x_2^j)^\delta = k^{-i} (1 - k^3)^{-1} [c_3 k (1 - k^{3i}) x_1^{i-1} x_2^{j+2} + c_4 (1 - k^{3j}) x_1^{i+2} x_2^{j-1}]$$

where $c_3 = \frac{c_1 \hbar}{1-\hbar}$, and $c_4 = \frac{c_2 \hbar}{1+\hbar}$.

We can rewrite our relations as

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 x_1 &= k x_1 x_2, \\ x_3 x_2 &= k x_2 x_3 + c x_1^2, \\ x_3 x_1 &= k^{-1} x_1 x_3 + d x_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $k, c, d \in \mathbf{k}(\hbar)$, and let $x_1 = s$, $x_2 = w k^{-x} s$, and $x_3 = k(c w^{-1} k^x - d w^2 k^{1-2x})(1 - k^3)^{-1} s + z k^x s^{-2}$.

As above, it is easy to check that an algebra generated by x_1 , x_2 , and x_3 is isomorphic to the corresponding R_b .

All of the previously considered cases are also covered by Bergman's diamond lemma (see [B]). Case 10) (which is not covered by the diamond lemma) requires a more involved construction (see the end of the section).

Rank one case.

Here

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, x_2] &= 0, \\ [x_2, x_3] &= \hbar \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - x_1 x_2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$[x_3, x_1] = \hbar \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2},$$

and this case is analogous to the cases 2), 3), and 4) in the $r = 0$ case. The corresponding algebras are skew polynomial extensions of the ring P of the derivation type and may be realized as subalgebras of A_2 .

Rank two case.

The first subcase where

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, x_2] &= \hbar c_1 x_1 \circ x_2, \\ [x_2, x_3] &= \hbar \left((c_1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}) x_2 \circ x_3 + 3c_2 x_1^2 \right), \\ [x_3, x_1] &= \hbar c_1 x_3 \circ x_1 \end{aligned}$$

is analogous to the case 8) and gives a skew extension of a quantum plane.

Let us rewrite relations:

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 x_1 &= k x_1 x_2, \\ x_3 x_2 &= k_1 x_2 x_3 + c x_1^2, \\ x_3 x_1 &= k^{-1} x_1 x_3. \end{aligned}$$

The main difference with the previously considered cases is that $k_1 \neq k$. For this case we may take $x_1 = s_x$, $x_2 = w k^{y-x} k_1^y s_x$, and $x_3 = c w^{-1} (1 - k_1 k^2)^{-1} k^{1+x-y} k_1^{-y} s_x + z k^x s_y$. (This representation works even when $c_1 = 0$ or $c_1 - \frac{\lambda}{2} = 0$.)

The second subcase where

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, x_2] &= \hbar (-2c_1 x_1^2), \\ [x_2, x_3] &= \hbar \left((-2c_1 + \frac{1}{2}) x_1 \circ x_3 + (c_1 - \frac{1}{2}) x_2^2 + 3c_2 x_1^2 \right), \\ [x_3, x_1] &= \hbar c_1 x_1 \circ x_2 \end{aligned}$$

gives an extension of the polynomial ring P when $c_1 = 0$. It is analogous to the case 5) when $c_1 \neq 0$ (and defines an extension of algebra B).

Let $c_1 = 0$. Then x_1 is a central element and we can take the following two elements of the first Weyl algebra over $\mathbf{k}(\hbar, x_1, w, z)$ for x_2 and x_3 (t denotes qp): $x_2 = -x_1(\hbar t + w)$ and $x_3 = x_1(\frac{1}{2}(\hbar t + w)^2 - 3c_2 + zp)$.

Let us assume now that $c_1 \neq 0$. Then we may consider algebra generated by $x_1 = p^{-1}$, $x_2 = 2c_1(\hbar q + w)$, and $x_3 = 2c_1^2[(\hbar q + w)^2 p + \hbar(\hbar q + w)] + (c_1^2 \hbar^2 (4c_1 - 1) - 3c_2)(1 - 6c_1)^{-1} p^{-1} + z p^d$ where $d = (2c_1)^{-1} - 2$. If d is not an integer we can view this algebra as a subalgebra of $D_1[p^d]$. When $1 - 6c_1 = 0$ this algebra is not defined and we put $x_3 = 2c_1^2[(\hbar q + w)^2 p + \hbar(\hbar q + w)] + (c_1^2 \hbar^2 (4c_1 - 1) - 3c_2)(2c_1)^{-1} p^{-1} \ln(p) + z p^{-1}$. This algebra belongs to $D_1[\ln(p)]$.

These two extensions of D_1 are defined as follows. Each element $a \in D_1$ may be represented as $a = \sum_{i=-\infty}^k a_i p^i$ where $a_i \in \mathbf{k}(\hbar, w, z, q)$. It is possible to extend multiplication from D_1 on such arbitrary series by $a \star b = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j a}{\partial p^j} \frac{\partial b}{\partial q^j}$, where multiplication in the right side of this formula is ordinary commutative multiplication of power series.

It is clear that this formula gives well defined expressions when either a or b are replaced by f if $\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial q}$ are defined and are "sufficiently small". For example, in our cases when $f = p^d$ or $f = \ln(p)$ we have $\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = p^{-1}(af + b)$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = 0$ and $\star$ multiplication is well defined.

Rank three case.

The first subcase where

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1, x_2] &= \hbar c x_1 \circ x_2, \\ [x_2, x_3] &= \hbar(c + \frac{\lambda_2}{2}) x_2 \circ x_3, \\ [x_3, x_1] &= \hbar(c - \frac{\lambda_1}{2}) x_3 \circ x_1\end{aligned}$$

is analogous to the case 7) and is a “quantum space”.

Let us rewrite these relations as $x_2 x_1 = k_3 x_1 x_2$, $x_3 x_2 = k_1 x_2 x_3$, $x_3 x_1 = k_2 x_1 x_3$ where $k_i \in \mathbf{k}(\hbar)$. We may put $x_1 = s_x$, $x_2 = k_3^{-x} s_y$, and $x_3 = z k_2^{-x} k_1^{-y}$.

In the second subcase it is possible to make a transformation in variables x_1 and x_2 and to reduce f to either

- a) $f = 0$ or
- b) $f = x_1 x_2 x_3$ or
- c) $f = x_1^2 x_3$.

For a) relations are

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1, x_2] &= 0, \\ [x_2, x_3] &= \hbar \frac{\lambda}{2} x_2 \circ x_3, \\ [x_3, x_1] &= -\hbar \frac{\lambda}{2} x_3 \circ x_1,\end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding algebra may be considered as a quantum space.

For b) relations are

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1, x_2] &= \frac{\hbar}{2} x_1 \circ x_2, \\ [x_2, x_3] &= \hbar \frac{\lambda+1}{2} x_2 \circ x_3, \\ [x_3, x_1] &= \hbar \frac{1-\lambda}{2} x_3 \circ x_1,\end{aligned}$$

and this is a quantum space again.

For c) relations are

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1, x_2] &= \hbar x_1^2, \\ [x_2, x_3] &= \hbar(x_1 \circ x_3 + \frac{\lambda}{2} x_2 \circ x_3), \\ [x_3, x_1] &= -\hbar \frac{\lambda}{2} x_3 \circ x_1.\end{aligned}$$

In the third subcase relations are

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1, x_2] &= \frac{\hbar}{2}(2c - \lambda)x_1^2, \\ [x_2, x_3] &= \frac{\hbar}{2}(2c x_1 \circ x_3 + \lambda x_2 \circ x_3), \\ [x_3, x_1] &= -\frac{\hbar}{2}\lambda x_3 \circ x_1.\end{aligned}$$

Here is a realization for these two cases. Let us consider the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}[x_1, x_2] &= a x_1^2, \\ [x_2, x_3] &= (b x_1 - c x_2) \circ x_3, \\ [x_3, x_1] &= c x_1 \circ x_3.\end{aligned}$$

Let us rewrite the last relation as $x_3 x_1 = k x_1 x_3$.

Then $x_3 x_2 = (k x_2 + k_1 x_1) x_3$, and we have a skew polynomial extension of automorphism type of the subalgebra generated by x_1, x_2 .

If $a = 0$, then it is an extension of polynomial algebra which can be realized as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}$ with $x_1 = w k^x$, $x_2 = w k_1 x k^{x-1}$, and $x_3 = s$.

If $a \neq 0$ then a realization can be found in the tensor product of $D_1[p^d]$ and $\mathcal{H}$ (which is rather natural since it contains both a quantum plane and a big subalgebra of a Weyl

algebra). We can take $x_1 = p^{-1}s$, $x_2 = -(aq + w)s$ and $x_3 = zp^d k^{-x}$ where $d = -k_1 k^{-1} a^{-1}$.

Orbit 10) case.

To construct algebras which correspond to the case 10), one may consider an algebra $B = \mathbf{k}(u)[[\hbar, p, q]]$ of formal power series in $\hbar$, p , and q over $\mathbf{k}(u)$ (where u is a central variable and $[p, q] = \hbar$) and search for a realization of corresponding brackets as subalgebras of B with generators $x_1 = \sum \hbar^i q^j x_{1,i,j}$, $x_2 = \sum \hbar^i q^j x_{2,i,j}$, and $x_3 = p$. Here $x_{1,i,j}, x_{2,i,j} \in \mathbf{k}(u)[[p]]$.

With the help of rather straightforward computations one may find corresponding solutions and show that the standard monomials are linearly independent in this case as well.

Let us assume that $H(x, y, z) = z^2 x + c_1 x y^2 + c_2 x^2 y + y^3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, x_2] &= \hbar x_1 \circ x_3, \\ [x_2, x_3] &= \hbar(x_3^2 + c_1 x_2^2 + c_2 x_1 \circ x_2), \\ [x_3, x_1] &= \hbar(c_1 x_1 \circ x_2 + c_2 x_1^2 + 3x_2^2) \end{aligned}$$

where we perceive these relations as equations for $x_{1,i,j}$ and $x_{2,i,j}$.

Let us again use notations $[x_i, x_j] = \hbar y_{i,j}$ and rewrite the second and third equations as

$$\sum j \hbar^{i+1} q^{j-1} x_{2,i,j} = -\hbar y_{2,3} \text{ and}$$

$$\sum j \hbar^{i+1} q^{j-1} x_{1,i,j} = \hbar y_{3,1}.$$

Now $g(p)q^i = \sum_{k=0}^i \hbar^k \frac{i!}{k!(i-k)!} q^{i-k} g^{(k)}$ where $g(p) \in \mathbf{k}[[p]]$ and $g^{(k)}$ is the “ordinary” derivative. So the product of two monomials in B is a linear combination of monomials with the same total $\hbar, q$ -degree (which is equal to the sum of degrees of multiples) and $\hbar$ -degrees of these monomials are not less than the sum of $\hbar$ degrees of the multiples. Therefore $x_{m,i,j}$ can be expressed through $x_{1,a,b}$ and $x_{2,a,b}$ where $a + b = i + j - 1$ and $a \leq i$. So by induction one can show that $x_{m,i,j}$ can be expressed as polynomials of $x_{1,n,0}^{(k)}$ and $x_{2,n,0}^{(k)}$ where $n \leq i$. Since $x_{m,n,0}$ do not appear in the left hand sides of our equations they can be chosen arbitrarily.

Now we have to choose these coefficients in such a way that the first equation will be satisfied. The following observation make this part of the computations more manageable. It is easy to check that $[x_1, y_{2,3}] + [x_2, y_{3,1}] + [x_3, y_{2,1}] = [x_1, x_2] \circ v_3 + [x_2, x_3] \circ v_1 + [x_3, x_1] \circ v_2 = 0$ for any choice of x_i . On the other hand, with our choice of x_i the Jacobi identity gives $\hbar[x_1, y_{2,3}] + \hbar[x_2, y_{3,1}] + [x_3, [x_1, x_2]] = 0$. It implies that $[p, [x_1, x_2] - \hbar x_1 \circ p] = 0$ is satisfied with any choice of $x_{m,n,0}$. Therefore, $[x_1, x_2] - \hbar x_1 \circ p$ does not contain terms with q , and it is sufficient to check that $[x_1, x_2] = \hbar x_1 \circ x_3$ is an equality only in terms which do not contain q . Let us use notation $\equiv$ for such equalities.

It is clear then that $[x_1, x_2] \equiv [\sum \hbar^i x_{1,i,0}, x_2] + [x_1, \sum \hbar^i x_{2,i,0}]$,

and that $p \circ x_1 \equiv 2 \sum \hbar^i x_{1,i,0} p + \hbar \sum \hbar^i x_{1,i,1}$.

So the coefficient Δ_n of $\hbar^n$ in $[x_1, x_2] - \hbar x_1 \circ p$ when $n > 1$ is equal to

$$x_{1,n-1,0}^{(1)} x_{2,0,1} - x_{2,n-1,0}^{(1)} x_{1,0,1} + x_{1,0,0}^{(1)} x_{2,n-1,1} - x_{2,0,0}^{(1)} x_{1,n-1,1} - 2x_{1,n-1,0} p + \delta_n$$

where δ_n depends on $x_{m,k,0}$ with $k < n - 1$.

The coefficient Δ_1 of $\hbar$ is $x_{1,0,0}^{(1)} x_{2,0,1} - x_{2,0,0}^{(1)} x_{1,0,1} - 2x_{1,0,0} p$.

Now

$$x_{1,k,1} = \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(x_{1,0,0}, x_{2,0,0}, p) x_{1,k,0} + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_2 \partial x_2}(x_{1,0,0}, x_{2,0,0}, p) x_{2,k,0} + \delta_{1,k}$$

and

$$-x_{2,k,1} = \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1}(x_{1,0,0}, x_{2,0,0}, p) x_{1,k,0} + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(x_{1,0,0}, x_{2,0,0}, p) x_{2,k,0} + \delta_{2,k}$$

for $k > 0$. (Here $\delta_{m,k}$ depend on $x_{m,i,0}$ with $i < k$.)

For $k = 0$ one gets

$$x_{1,0,1} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_2}(x_{1,0,0}, x_{2,0,0}, p)$$

and

$$-x_{2,0,1} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_1}(x_{1,0,0}, x_{2,0,0}, p).$$

By substituting these expressions in Δ_1 one gets

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_1}(x_{1,0,0}, x_{2,0,0}, p)x_{1,0,0}^{(1)} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_2}(x_{1,0,0}, x_{2,0,0}, p)x_{2,0,0}^{(1)} + 2x_{1,0,0}p = 0.$$

Since $2x_{1,0,0}p = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_3}(x_{1,0,0}, x_{2,0,0}, p)$ one can conclude that $\frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = 0$ and put $H(x_{1,0,0}, x_{2,0,0}, p) = u$ where u is a central variable.

Substitution in Δ_n for $n > 1$ gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_1}(x_{1,0,0}, x_{2,0,0}, p)x_{1,n-1,0} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_2}(x_{1,0,0}, x_{2,0,0}, p)x_{2,n-1,0}\right) + \epsilon_n = 0$$

accordingly. (Here ϵ_n depend on $x_{m,i,0}$ with $i < n - 1$.)

Let us put finally $x_{1,i,0} = 0$ for all i and $x_{2,0,0} = v$ where v is a central variable. Then $u = v^3$ and $x_1 = 3v^2q + \dots$, $x_2 = v + \dots$, $x_3 = p$ where omitted terms are of higher $\hbar, q$ -degree. Since the standard monomials in the lowest terms are linearly independent, we can conclude that the standard monomials in x_1, x_2, x_3 are also linearly independent.

Remark. Algebras which appeared here are considered from a different point of view in [AS], [ATV], [FO1], [FO2], [O].

References

- [AS] M. Artin and W. Schelter, *Graded Algebras of Global Dimension 3*, Advances in Math. 66 (1987), 171-216.
- [ATV] M. Artin, J. Tate, M. Van Den Bergh, *Some Algebras Associated to Automorphisms of Elliptic Curves*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, v. 1, Birkhauser, 1990.
- [Ba] J. Bakelin, *A distributiveness property of augmented algebras and some related homological results*, Preprint, Univ. Stockholm, 1981.
- [B] G.M. Bergman, *The Diamond Lemma for Ring Theory*, Advances in Mathematics, 29 (1978), 178-218.
- [C] P.M. Cohn, "Skew Field Constructions", Cambridge University Press, 1977.
- [D] V. Drinfeld, *On quadratic commutator relations in the quasiclassical case*, Math. physics and functional analysis, Kiev, Naukova Dumka, 1986.
- [DH] J. Dufour et A. Haraki, *Rotationnels et structures de Poisson quadratiques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, V. 312 I (1991), 137-140.
- [FO1] B. Feigin and A. Odessky, *Sklyanin Algebras Associated with Elliptic Curves*, Preprint, Institute for Theoretical Physics, Ukraine, Kiev, 1989.
- [FO2] B. Feigin and A. Odessky, *Elliptic Sklyanin Algebras*, Functional Analysis and Applications, V. 23, 3, 1989.
- [LX] Z. Liu and P. Xu, *On quadratic Poisson structures*, Letters in Math. Phys., 26 (1992), 33-42.

- [O] A. Odessky, *Infinite Dimensional Algebras and Complex Varieties*, Doctoral Thesis, Moscow State University, Moscow, 1991.
- [PP] A. Polistchuk and L. Posicelsky, *On Quadratic Algebras*, Preprint, Moscow, 1991.